Minimizing the total number of shadows in secret sharing schemes based on extended neighborhood coronas

Raúl M. Falcón⁽¹⁾, N. Mohanapriya⁽²⁾ and V. Aparna⁽²⁾

Department of Applied Maths I, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain. rafalgan@us.es
 PG and Research Dept. of Mathematics, Kongunadu Arts and Science College, India.

Santander, October 19–21, 2022.



直 ト イヨ ト イヨト

CONTENTS

Preliminaries.

Minimizing the number of shadows.

o Further work.

CONTENTS

• Preliminaries.

Minimizing the number of shadows.

3 Further work.

(n, t)-threshold secret sharing scheme.

[Blakley, 1979] Safeguarding cryptographic keys. Int. Workshop Managing Requirements Knowledge, 313-317.

[Shamir, 1979] How to share a secret. Comm. ACM 22, 612-613.



- n shadows.
- Any authorized subgroup sharing at least *t* distinct shadows can reconstruct the secret, but no subgroup sharing less than *t* shadows can do it.

(n, t)-threshold secret sharing scheme.



- Schemes based on *finite, simple and connected undirected graphs*.
- *Vertex* = Participant.
- *Edge* = Proximity relationship such that cooperation is possible.
- One round of communication: Each participant receives the shadows of her/his neighbors.
- *n*-proper coloring: One shadow (*color*) per participant so that no two neighbors have the same shadow.



- [Kim and Ok, 2017]: Every *t*-dynamic proper *n*-coloring describes a shadow allocation of an (*n*, *t* + 1)-threshold secret sharing scheme.
- [Montgomery, 2001]: The number of different colors among the neighbors of a vertex is at least *t*, or all different if the vertex has less than *t* neighbors.

$$|c(N_G(v))| \ge \min\{t, |N_G(v)|\}.$$
 (1)



- **Problem 1.** Which is the minimum number of rounds of communication that are necessary to ensure that the secret can be reconstructed by all the participants?
- **Problem 2.** Which is the minimum number of distinct shadows into which the secret has to split to ensure condition (1)?



Problem 1: Minimum number of rounds of communication.

- 1, if $t \leq \delta(G)$.
- Upper bounded by the diameter of the graph, otherwise.



Problem 2: Minimum number of shadows.

- $\chi_t(G)$: The *t*-dynamic chromatic number is the minimum number of shadows into which the secret has to split to ensure this coloring.
- Computing this number constitutes the *t-dynamic coloring problem* of the graph.
- If t = 1, then it coincides with the classical chromatic number $\chi(G)$.

Extended neighborhood corona.



- Corona product [Frucht and Harary, 1970]: G ⊙ H.
 Every vertex v_i in G is joined to all the vertices in the ith copy of H.
- Neighborhood corona product [Indulal, 2011]: G * H.

Every neighbor of the vertex v_i in G is joined to every vertex in the i^{th} copy of H.

• Extended neighborhood corona product [Adiga et al., 2016]: G * H. In G * H, every vertex in the i^{th} copy of H is joined to every vertex in the j^{th} copy of H, whenever v_i and v_j are connected.

Extended neighborhood corona.

G * H can model complex networks with small average path length (ℓ) even if G and/or H grow asymptotically.

Proposition (F. et al., 2022)

If
$$m = |V(G)|$$
 and $n = |V(H)|$, then

$$Iim_{m\to\infty} \ell_{G*H} = \frac{n+1}{n} \cdot Iim_{m\to\infty} \ell_G.$$

$$2 \quad \frac{\ell_G \cdot (m-1)+1}{m} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_{G*H} \le \frac{\ell_G \cdot (m-1)+2}{m}$$

$$im_{m,n\to\infty} \ell_{G*H} = lim_{m\to\infty} \ell_G.$$

If $\ell_G \to \infty$, then only H can grow.

Example:
$$G = P_m$$
 (center path).

If ℓ_G is asymptotically bounded, then both G and H can grow.

Example:
$$G = S_m$$
 (center star).

CONTENTS

Preliminaries.

Minimizing the number of shadows.

o Further work.

Solving the dynamic coloring problem.

 $\omega(G)$ [Clique number]: Largest order of any complete subgraph in G.

Lemma (F. et al., 2022)

$$\omega(G) \cdot \chi(H) \leq \chi_t(G * H) \leq \beta_t \cdot \chi_{\alpha_t}(G),$$

where

$$\alpha_t := \min\left\{ \lceil \frac{t}{n+1} \rceil, \, \Delta(G) \right\}$$

and

$$\beta_t := \min\{n+1, \max\{t, \chi(H)\}\}.$$

Proposition (F. et al., 2022)

If $\omega(G) = \chi(G)$, then

$$\chi_t(G * H) = \chi(G) \cdot \chi(H),$$

for every $t \leq \chi(H)$.



Theorem (F. et al., 2022)

$$\chi_t(P_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < 2n+2, \\ 3n+3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

2



 $\chi_2(P_4*P_3)=4.$

æ

Theorem (F. et al., 2022)

$$\chi_t(P_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < 2n+2, \\ 3n+3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



 $\chi_4(P_4 * P_3) = 8.$

2

Theorem (F. et al., 2022)

$$\chi_t(P_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < 2n+2, \\ 3n+3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



 $\chi_6(P_4 * P_3) = 10.$

2

Theorem (F. et al., 2022)

$$\chi_t(P_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < 2n+2, \\ 3n+3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



 $\chi_t(P_4 * P_3) = 12$, whenever $t \ge 8$.

2

$$\chi_t(P_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < 2n+2, \\ 3n+3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem (F. et al., 2022)

$$\chi_t(P_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < 2n+2, \\ 3n+3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Two rounds of communication are enough to ensure that all the participants can reconstruct the secret, whenever everybody is honest.
- Minimum number of distinct shadows:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\chi_t(P_m*H)=2\cdot\max\{t,\chi(H)\}.$$

• • = • • = •

э



Theorem (F. et al., 2022)

$$\chi_t(S_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < mn+m, \\ (m+1) \cdot (n+1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

モトトモト



$$\chi_2(S_3*P_3)=4.$$

$$\chi_t(S_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < mn+m, \\ (m+1) \cdot (n+1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



$$\chi_4(S_3*P_3)=8.$$

$$\chi_t(S_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < mn+m, \\ (m+1) \cdot (n+1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



$$\chi_7(S_3 * P_3) = 11.$$

$$\chi_t(S_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < mn+m, \\ (m+1) \cdot (n+1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



$$\chi_t(S_3 * P_3) = 16$$
, whenever $t \ge 12$.

$$\chi_t(S_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < mn+m, \\ (m+1) \cdot (n+1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem (F. et al., 2022)

$$\chi_t(S_m * H) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \le n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{if } n+1 < t < mn+m, \\ (m+1) \cdot (n+1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Two rounds of communication are enough to ensure that all the participants can reconstruct the secret, whenever everybody is honest.
- Minimum number of distinct shadows:
 - If S_m is large enough, then

 $\lim_{m\to\infty}\chi_t(S_m*H) = \begin{cases} 2\cdot \max\{t, \chi(H)\}, & \text{if } t \leq n+1, \\ n+t+1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

• If either H or both S_m and H are large enough, then

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\chi_t(S_m*H)=\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\chi_t(S_m*H)=2\cdot\max\{t,\chi(H)\}.$

CONTENTS

Preliminaries.

Minimizing the number of shadows.

• Further work.

Further works.

- **Problem A.** For any given graph, how many rounds of communications are, at least, necessary to ensure that the secret can be reconstructed by everybody? What if there are dishonest participants?
- **Problem B.** Which is the minimum number of distinct shadows into which the secret has to split to ensure that everybody recover it in, at most, *k* rounds of communication?

 $|c(N_G^k(v))| \geq \min\{t, |N_G^k(v)|\},\$

where

$$N_G^k(v) := \{ w \in V(G) \colon d_G(v, w) \leq k \}.$$

[(t, k)-dynamic chromatic number $\chi_{t,k}(G)$]

REFERENCES

- [Adiga et al., 2016] Spectra of extended neighborhood corona and extended corona of two graphs. Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl. 4, 101–110.
- [Blakley, 1979] Safeguarding cryptographic keys. Int. Workshop Managing Requirements Knowledge, 313–317.
- [F. et al., 2022] Optimal shadow allocations of secret sharing schemes arisen from the dynamic coloring of extended neighborhood coronas. Mathematics 10, paper 2018, 13 pp.
- [Frucht and Harary, 1970] On the corona of two graphs. Aequationes Math. 4, 322–325.
- [Indulal, 2011] The spectrum of neighborhood corona of graphs. Kragujevac J. Math. 35, 493–500.
- [Kim and Ok, 2017] Dynamic choosability of triangle-free graphs and sparse random graphs. J. Graph Theory 87, 347–355.
- [Montgomery, 2001] Dynamic coloring of graphs. Ph.D Thesis, West Virginia University, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, United States, 2001.
- [Shamir, 1979] How to share a secret. Comm. ACM 22, 612–613.

= nar

Many thanks!

Minimizing the total number of shadows in secret sharing schemes based on extended neighborhood coronas

Raúl M. Falcón⁽¹⁾, N. Mohanapriya⁽²⁾ and V. Aparna⁽²⁾

 $^{(1)}$ Department of Applied Maths I, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain. rafalganQus.es $^{(2)}$ PG and Research Dept. of Mathematics, Kongunadu Arts and Science College, India.

Santander, October 19-21, 2022.



• • = • • = •